Gravitational wave sources: reflections and echoes

Richard H. Price
Department of Physics, MIT, 77 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, MA 02139 and
Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth, MA 02747

Gaurav Khanna
Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth, MA 02747

The recent detection of gravitational waves has generated interest in alternatives to the black hole interpretation of sources. One set of such alternatives involves a prediction of gravitational wave "echoes". We consider two aspects of possible echoes: First, general features of echoes coming from spacetime reflecting conditions. We find that the detailed nature of such echoes does not bear any clear relationship to quasi-normal frequencies. Second, we point out the pitfalls in the analysis of local reflecting "walls" near the horizon of rapidly rotating black holes.

arXiv:1702.04833v2 [gr-qc] 7 Mar 2017

I. INTRODUCTION

The source of the recently detected gravitational waves (GWs) by the LIGO collaboration [1, 2] has been interpreted to be the inspiral and merger of a pair of intermediate mass binary black holes. This interpretation has been viewed as secure since the observed waveform had an excellent fit to the very different physics of early and late inspiral. The early waveform fit the "chirp" pattern [3] of the evolving nearly circular binary orbit driven to smaller radii and higher angular velocity by the loss of energy to outgoing gravitational waves. The late waveform fit the pattern for the quasinormal ringdown (QNR) of the perturbed final black hole, a black hole of the appropriate angular momentum and mass as implied by the merger process [4].

The early pattern is not exclusive to orbiting black holes; it would be no different for a binary of any compact objects of the same masses. What is most important for the black hole interpretation is the QNR, and the way in which the transition from the early waveform to the QNR agrees with the black hole models of numerical relativity [5].

The importance of the QNR to the black hole interpretation has led to studies of the question of alternative, non-black hole, sources of QNR-like waveforms [6–10]. One recent example is the double wormhole model of Cardoso, Franzen and Pani [12] (hereafter CFP). The fact that damped oscillations are not uniquely, or even especially, associated with black holes is not news [16–18], but a relatively new element of the question is whether the replacement for the black hole may involve reflections and may produce echoes, i.e., delayed repetitions of the QNR-like pattern [12–15]. Indeed, a discovery has been claimed of just such echoes in the gravitational wave detector data [11, 19], though the statistical significance of the claim has been disputed by members of the LIGO collaboration [20].

In this paper, we do not focus exclusively on the LIGO detections, but rather we consider somewhat broadly the physics that lies behind recent claims, the nature of reflections of gravitational waves and echoes that might result from such reflections from surfaces around compact objects. There is, however, a possible relevance to gravitational waveform interpretation: the issue of how closely echoes might be delayed repetitions of an earlier burst. Do echoes, for instance, have the same frequency and damping rate of the late "ringing" in an initial burst? Might differences between the initial burst and its echo contain, at least in principle, interesting information?

We discuss, in Sec. II, the general nature of echoes, and connect that issue to the meaning and features of quasinormal modes. We shall point out the important differences between two very different sources of echoes: On the one hand echoes can result from a feature of the "curvature potential" through which waves propagate [21]; on the other hand echoes can be the result of some sort of "wall" surrounding a compact object.

In Sec. III, we pay particular attention to the physical meaning of "reflection," and point out a pitfall in the mathematical analysis of refection of radiation at a surface around a black hole. We conclude and summarize in Sec. IV.

Throughout, the paper we use the conventions of the textbook by Misner *et al.* [22]. In particular, we use the metric convention -+++, and units in which $G = c = 1$. For simplicity we will, for the most part, use spherical symmetry in examples, so that, for instance, we will give details for Schwarzschild, rather than the astrophysically more relevant rotating Kerr holes. But issues of Kerr holes will be important, and will constitute the motivation, especially in Sec. III.

II. THE NATURE OF ECHOES FROM COMPACT OBJECTS

A. Sources of echoes

At the outset it is important to note that there can be at least two distinct sources of echoes. One source is the spacetime itself, and more specifically the curvature potential through which waves propagate. An example of this is the double light ring model of Cardoso *et al.* [10].

In that model, two peaks in the curvature potential act, in effect, as two locations at which wave interactions can be viewed in terms of transmission and reflection. A second source of echoes is some sort of a "wall" that forms an inner boundary of the wave propagation problem, and that replaces the horizon as the boundary [11, 23]. These walls are typically associated with speculations, or specific models, of quantum effects.

It is crucial to emphasize here the difference between formal quasinormal ringing (QNR) and quasinormal-like oscillations (QNR-like). The former refers to an eigenvalue problem for single frequency modes of a system, typically a system characterized by a fairly compact potential for wave propagation. The boundary conditions on the modes involve outgoing radiation, so that the eigenproblem is not self adjoint, and the frequency eigenvalues are complex. In the case of black holes, the boundary conditions are outgoing radiation at infinity and ingoing radiation at the horizon. These complex eigenvalues also show up as poles in the frequency-domain Green function for the system. Typically, there is an infinite spectrum of such modes for any linear system, e.g., for the differential equation for a particular multipole mode of a black hole perturbation field [24].

The QNR-like signals are damped oscillations. In the black hole context these QNR-like waveforms have long been associated with the late-time "ringdown" of perturbed black holes. While this association developed in work on black hole perturbation theory in the 1970s, this ringdown has been seen in all numerical relativity simulations of black hole ringdown, simulations based on the fully nonlinear equations of Einstein's general relativity. Such QNR-like waveforms typically have very nearly the period of oscillation and the exponential damping rate of the least damped of the quasinormal modes, and there was little attention given to the difference.

In fact, a system with a time dependent source cannot exhibit pure QNR [25]. The outgoing signal will always be affected by the time dependence of the source as well as the damped-sinusoid pattern of a QN mode. From the Green's function point of view, the integral of the source over the Green's function [26] will include a residue for the QN pole, but will have other contributions. It must be asked, then, why is there such a close apparent correspondence between the late time signal and the least damped QN mode?

Part of the answer is that the correspondence is not always valid. Nollert [16] studied the mathematical problem of evolving initial data in the Schwarzschild spacetime and showed that a class of minor modifications of the problem had no discernible effect on the evolved data, but changed the QN spectrum enormously. More recently, CFP have shown that the QN spectrum of a wormhole consisting of two Schwarzschild "funnels" is enormously different from that of the Schwarzschild black hole, yet the initial QNR-like ringing of the wormhole is almost identical to that of the black hole.

There are, therefore, examples in which there are weak or missing connections between the QN frequencies of a system and the QNR-like ringing exhibited in signals generated by sources. But there are examples in which there is a strong connection and black hole processes fall in that second class. It is important to ask why.

CFP have ascribed the QN frequency and QNR-like ringing to the role of the light-ring. In the case of black holes this is an interesting heuristic insight and one that was first shown to give good estimates of QN frequencies by Goebels [27]. It cannot, however, be the complete story. One can, after all, trivially set up a 1+1 model (one spatial dimension, one time dimension) with outgoing radiation boundary conditions but with no attached concept of a light ring; such a problem will have a QN spectrum and a QNR-like ringing. We have also presented a 3+1 model with no light ring, yet with QNR-like oscillations [28].

A more general view of the connection between QN and QNR-like mathematics is that the QNR-like signal is due to "scattering" within a potential. That scattering can account for the damping of the outgoing radiation. In a computation of the QN spectrum it is this scattering that can be viewed as lying at the heart of modes at a single frequency. The scattering viewpoint is very insensitive to distant boundary conditions and should be initiated as the source (initial data or particle motion) interacts with a peak of a potential.

The scattering viewpoint suggests that a WKB approximation may give good estimates, but of the frequencies of the QNR-like oscillations, *not* of the true QN eigenvales. The WKB approximation uses an integral over the potential, so it is insensitive to the changes (e.g., those of Nollert) that greatly change the QN spectrum; the approximation also is insensitive to distant boundary conditions. To some extent the WKB approximation and the scattering viewpoint are conceptually, or heuristically quite close. This can be taken as a partial explanation of the examples in which the QN frequencies do not agree with the scattering/WKB results. This disagreement is most pronounced when the curvature potential is not smoothly varying in space. The condition for success of the WKB approximation is that the spatial rate of change of the curvature potential is small [29].

The WKB approximation has given fairly good agreement with computed black hole QN frequencies, but it must be kept in mind that the WKB approximation is a high frequency approximation, and it is typically applied to wavelengths that are of order of the width of the potential that affects wave propagation. The situation then is that we can take some comfort in the WKB approximation giving results in good agreement with computed waveforms, but must not be surprised in the absence of such agreement.

This scattering viewpoint lets us make some predictions about the nature of the QNR-like signals in echoes. These will be discussed below.

B. A model problem: the Pöschl-Teller potential with a reflecting wall

The work by CFP has provided useful examples of echoes from a potential with two peaks. This is one of two distinct ways in which echoes can be generated. We will refer to that paper in arguments below, but here we shall focus on the other general manner in which echoes can be generated: a reflecting wall. Our specific model will start with the equation

$$\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial x^2} + V(x)\Psi = \text{Source}\,. \qquad (1)$$

For a Schwarzschild black hole Ψ is a representation of a multipole of a scalar, electromagnetic or gravitational perturbation field; the x coordinate is the Regge-Wheeler [30] tortoise coordinate r^*, and the source term can represtent a particle. The potential, in the black hole case is the curvature potential [21], which falls off as $1/r^{*2}$ as $r^* \to \infty$, and falls off exponentially as $r^* \to -\infty$, the location of the horizon.

For our model we will start with the Pöschl-Teller potential [31, 32]

$$V_{PT}(x) = 1/\cosh^2 x\,. \qquad (2)$$

The boundary condition will be the outgoing condition at $x \to \infty$, i.e., Ψ becomes proportional to $\exp[i\omega(x-t)]$ as $x \to \infty$. For the other boundary condition, we may take $\Psi \propto \exp[i\omega(-x-t)]$ as $x \to -\infty$, which we will call the horizon condition, since it is the analog of the horizon boundary condition for black holes. But we may also take, as a model for reflection, a "wall condition," the condition that $\Psi = 0$ at some particular value of x, the location of a reflecting wall.

The solution of the system of Eqs. (1) and (2), with the outgoing condition, is proportional to the associated Legendre function

$$\Psi \propto P^{\nu}_{\mu}(\tanh x) \qquad \nu = \frac{1}{2}(-1 \pm i\sqrt{3}) \qquad \mu = i\omega\,. \quad (3)$$

By expressing this in terms of a Gauss hypergeometric function it can be shown that the horizon condition at $x \to -\infty$ is achieved only for

$$\omega = -i\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\,, \qquad (4)$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ The frequency of interest, the least damped QN mode, is that for $n = 0$.

In the case of the reflecting wall condition, $\Psi = 0$ at $x_{\rm wall}$, we must search numerically for the complex value of ω for which $P^{(-1\pm i\sqrt{3})/2}_{i\omega}(\tanh x_{\rm wall}) = 0$. This search was carried out by a simple code that performs a series of hierarchical searches over the complex plane looking for zeros of $P^{(-1\pm i\sqrt{3})/2}_{i\omega}(\tanh x_{\rm wall})$ as needed. We begin with a coarsely refined grid, and identify regions of the plane that yield values of the mentioned function that are lower than a certain threshold. We then use these as the center points for more refined grids and resume the search. This process is continued several times (the search threshold value is lowered with each refinement, of course). This allows us to hone in on the zeros of the function in fairly simple and accurate manner. A separate numerical exercise was to evolve Ψ, from initial data representing a narrow Gaussian pulse, starting at $x = 25$ (large enough so that the potential is effectively zero) and moving in the negative x direction. This was done using a separate time-domain wave-equation solver that uses a time-explicit, 2-step Lax Wendroff, second-order finite-difference evolution scheme.

Our first example appears in Fig. 1. The evolutions of an initial ingoing Gaussian pulse are shown for both the pure Pöschl-Teller potential (dashed curve), and for the Pöschl-Teller potential truncated by a reflecting wall at $x = -5$ (solid curve). Because the reflecting wall is so close to the peak, this model does not involve echoes, but rather changes the problem to another with a modified single peak. This example serves to show a case in which the QNR-like ringing agrees quite well with the QN eigenvalue/pole. The pure Pöschl-Teller potential has, according to Eq. (4), a least damped QN ω of $(\pm\sqrt{3} - i)/2$, which agrees to good accuracy with a fit to the dashed curve in the Fig. 1 for x larger than around 80. The eigenvalue search for the $x_{\rm wall} = -5$ case gives a least damped value of 0.640 + i 0.0096, which agrees with a fit to the curve to about 1% with the real part and to about 5% (the fit uncertainty) for the imaginary part.



FIG. 1: The late time waveform evolved from a narrow inward moving initial Gaussian pulse. The dashed curve is the waveform for the horizon condition (i.e., the extended Pöschl-Teller potential); the solid curve is for the Pöschl-Teller potential plus a wall ($\Psi = 0$) condition at $x = -5$.

It will be of some interest, for later models, to check the applicability of the Schutz-Will WKB approximation [33]

for the dominant (i.e., least damped) QN frequency $\omega_{\rm QN}$:

$$\omega_{\rm QN}^2 = V - i\,\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2\frac{d^2V}{dx^2}}\ . \qquad (5)$$

This formula is to be applied at the peak of the potential, where the second derivative of the curvature potential is negative, and hence the second term on the right is pure imaginary. In the case of the Pöschl-Teller potential in Eq. (2) this gives $\omega_{\rm QN} = 1.0987 - i\,0.4551$. Note that this result is a reasonable approximation of the pure Pöschl-Teller QN mode.

The WKB prediction $\omega_{\rm QN} = 1.0987 - i\,0.4551$ applies to the model with $x_{\rm wall} = -5$ as well as to the pure Pöschl-Teller potential, since both models in Fig. 1 have the same peak behavior. Here the WKB approximation is still in the right ballpark for the real part, but orders of magnitude wrong for the imaginary part. This should be expected. The Schutz-Will estimate approximates the effective curvature potential as a parabola near the peak and works best if the turning points, those locations at which $\omega^2 = V(x)$, are close together. For the high QN frequencies in some models, this does not apply; there are not even any turning points. It is not surprising that the real part in the Schutz-Will estimate Eq. (5), which does not depend delicately on the shape of the potential is widely applicable, though it is surprising how good an estimate it is.

It is worth emphasizing that the WKB method is local; it may be considered to be related to the scattering picture of QNR-like phenomena. It may also be worth emphasizing that in our Pöschl-Teller model there is no meaning to a "light ring."

Results are shown in Fig. 2 comparing the waveform evolved from an initial Gaussian pulse for both the pure Pöschl-Teller potential, and the Pöschl-Teller potential with a reflecting wall at $x_{\rm wall} = -20$. In the reflecting wall case there is an initial burst that is essentially indistinguishable in the graph from the burst evolved with the pure Pöschl-Teller potential. This is a particularly clear example of the distinction between a QN oscillation and a QNR-like oscillation. There are multiple QN modes in this model. The one that appears to most relevant for the model with $x_{\rm wall} = -20$ is $0.936 + i\,0.01$.[1] The QNR-like first burst, however, accurately traces the pure Pöschl-Teller burst, which has both a QN frequency, and an evolved wave form with $\omega = 0.866 + i\,0.5$. Again, we see that the scattering viewpoint is justified, and the real part of the WKB approximation is correct to rough order.

The question remains on the nature of the echoes in the reflecting wall case. It might be expected that later and later echoes would approach more and more closely the true QN frequency. In Fig. 2, however, there is no sign that the echoes approach the almost undamped oscillations of the true QN mode.



FIG. 2: The waveform from an initial narrow Gaussian pulse evolved both with a pure Pöschl-Teller potential (dashed curve), and with a reflecting wall at $x_{\rm wall} = -20$ (solid curve).



FIG. 3: The waveform from an initial narrow Gaussian pulse evolved both with a reflecting wall at $x_{\rm wall} = -20$. The first echo is shifted by 40 and the second echo by 80, to bring those echoes in approximate alignment with the first burst.

The relationship of the echoes and the first burst is examined in Fig. 3. If we consider outgoing radiation to be generated at $x = 0$, and the first echo to be the reflection off the wall at $x_{\rm wall} = -20$, then the outgoing echo should follow the initial QNR-like burst by a time delay of 40. For that reason, in Fig. 3 we shift the first echo to an earlier time by 40. For the same reason we shift the second echo to earlier time by 80. The curves in Fig. 3 show that the basic idea of a delayed echo is correct, but that the delay time is somewhat larger than

[1] Note the small imaginary part; the other modes have an imaginary part that is even smaller. We enlist a few additional modes here: $0.784 + i\,0.0056$, $0.631 + i\,0.0024$, $0.476 + i\,0.0009$.

40 for each "bounce."

The exponential damping rate of the first echo is neither the 0.5 of the pure Pöschl-Teller QN, nor the 0.01 of the QN for the reflecting potential, but rather a value around 0.35. The appropriate ω for the QNR-like echo cannot be extracted with good precision because the late-time portion of the echo is not well approximated by a single damped sinusoid. We have made arguments above that a pure QN oscillation is impossible, since the source has its own time variation. *We conjecture that the echoes, QNR-like ringing present in outgoing radiation, are not pure QN oscillations.* Independent of that conjecture is the fact that in principle there is a difference between the shape of the initial burst and that of any of the echoes. If we generalize from this one example, we can conclude that *the echo waveforms contain important information about the conditions from which the echoes emerge.*

To show more evidence in support of our conjecture, we changed the boundary condition from a reflecting wall, i.e. a Dirichlet boundary, to a different condition – one that effectively relates the second time-derivative of the field to the negative of the field itself. The results are shown in Fig. 4. It is clear that the echoes with this



FIG. 4: The third echo from an initial narrow Gaussian pulse evolved with a reflecting wall (solid curve), and with a different boundary condition (dashed curve) at $x_{\rm wall} = -20$. It is clear that they exhibit very different characteristics.

boundary condition are very different from those from the reflecting wall. Therefore, these echoes carry important detailed information about the processes that led to their formation and development.

Now, since one is most interested in considering echoes in the context of gravitational waves (as opposed to the scalar case, considered thus far) from a realistic, spinning black hole system, one may attempt to simply go ahead and apply a Dirichlet-type boundary condition at a location close to the horizon on the Weyl scalar Ψ_4 and evolve using the Teukolsky equation [37]. However, such a naive attempt yields no echoes whatsoever! In the next section, we explain this null result and also sketch out a potential scheme for attempting to implement a proper reflection condition in the context of gravitational waves.

III. REFLECTIONS OF GRAVITATIONAL WAVES

In this section we consider the description of reflections at some sort of "wall." We shall not be, nor need to be specific about the nature of this reflecting wall, except for one requirement. The reflection must be the result of a local condition, and not a condition like the modification of the potential (that is in a loose sense, global). We shall clarify what we mean by this with examples of electromagnetism and gravitational perturbations in the Schwarzschild background.

The fundamental concept we want to present here is that, except for a scalar field, there are many features of a field that are encoded in different mathematical packages. Because a gravitational perturbation, with its 10 degrees of freedom is an unnecessarily complicated way to start, but a scalar perturbation is too simple, the complexity "Goldilocks zone" is occupied by electromagnetic perturbation of a Schwarzschild background.

In this case, at any point in spacetime, there are 6 degrees of freedom that can be considered to be the 3 components of the electric field, and the 3 of the magnetic field; alternatively they can be considered the 6 independent components of the Maxwell 4-tensor.

The partial differential equations for this system, Maxwell's equations in the Schwarzschild background, are uselessly messy in terms of the individual vector or tensor components. A very effective way of repackaging these quantities is to use the 3 complex fields of the Newman-Penrose (NP) formalism. The asymptotic behaviors of these fields, and hence the argument to be made here, depend crucially on the spin-weight of the fields. For that reason we use here a notation that indexes the fields with their spin-weight [34]. The formal definitions of these complex fields, and their connection to the original NP notation, are given in Appendix A in that reference.

The 3 complex fields can most simply be defined through their relationship to the components on the electric and magnetic fields in the Schwarzschild background. We define $E^{[r]}, E^{[\theta]}, E^{[\phi]}$ as the orthonormal components of the electric field in the basis given by the standard Schwarzschild (r, θ, ϕ) coordinate system. The components of the magnetic field are similarly defined with a B. The NP projections $\Phi_{-1}, \Phi_{-1}, \Phi_{+1}$ are related to these E, B components by

$$\Phi_{+1} = 2^{-1/2}\,(1-2M/r)^{-1/2}\left[\left(E^{[\theta]}-B^{[\phi]}\right)+i\,\left(E^{[\phi]}+E^{[\theta]}\right)\right] \tag{6}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(E^{[r]}+i\,B^{[r]}\right) \tag{7}$$

$$\Phi_{-1} = -2^{-3/2}\,(1-2M/r)^{1/2}\left[\left(E^{[\theta]}+B^{[\phi]}\right)-i\,\left(E^{[\phi]}-E^{[\theta]}\right)\right]. \tag{8}$$

These relations point to an important property of the Φ_k: their relationship to ingoing and outgoing radiation. Consider, for example, the quantities constructed on the right in Eq. (6). For outgoing electromagnetic radiation, the orthonormal components of the electric and magnetic fields all fall off as $1/r$, but $E^{[\theta]} = B^{[\phi]}$ and $E^{[\phi]} = -B^{[\theta]}$ to leading order in in $1/r$, so that to this order Φ_{+1} vanishes. It turns out, in fact, that Φ_{+1} falls off in the large r limit as $1/r^3$. More generally, there is a "peeling theorem" for the Φ_k that tells us that [35]

$$\Phi_k \xrightarrow{r\to\infty} 1/r^{2+k}\,. \tag{9}$$

It is then Φ_{-1} that describes outgoing radiation. In that sense it plays the role of ψ_4 in the Teukolsky equation [37], the quantity that describes outgoing radiation.

In the same sense, there is a version of a peeling theorem in the horizon limit. It can be shown [38] that in the horizon limit, i.e., in the limit $r^* \to -\infty$,

$$\Phi_k \to \exp\left(-kr^*/2M\right). \tag{10}$$

The quantity that is dominant in the description of radiation being carried into the horizon is therefore Φ_{+1}.

Although Φ_{-1} is dominant for outgoing radiation, and Φ_{+1} for ingoing, each of the Φ_k carries all information about the other Φ_k. To express these relationships it is best to consider individual multipoles and remove the angular dependence. The angular dependence of NP fields is described with spin-weighted spherical harmonics. We denote by a caret ($\widehat{\ }$) the function of r, t multiplying each spin-weighted spherical harmonic. (For the precise procedure for moving angular dependence, see Ref. [34].)

These equations, i.e. the Maxwell differential equations, are best expressed in derivatives with respect to retarded and advanced time,

$$u = t - r^* \qquad v = t + r^* \tag{11}$$

and in Gaussian-esu units. For an ℓ-pole mode the equations are

$$2(1-2M/r)^{-1}\partial_v\left(r\widehat{\Phi}_{-1}\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\ell(\ell+1)\widehat{\Phi}_0 \tag{12}$$

$$2(1-2M/r)^{-1}\partial_v\left(r^2\widehat{\Phi}_{0}\right) = r\widehat{\Phi}_{+1} \tag{13}$$

$$\partial_u\left(r^2\widehat{\Phi}_{0}\right) = r\widehat{\Phi}_{-1} \tag{14}$$

$$\partial_u\left[(1-2M/r)\,r\widehat{\Phi}_{+1}\right] = -\frac{1}{2}\ell(\ell+1)(1-2M/r)\widehat{\Phi}_0\,. \tag{15}$$

From these equations, second-order wave equations can be formulated for any of the $\widehat{\Phi}_k$, and all information could be extracted from that $\widehat{\Phi}_k$. We could then, in principle, work only with $\widehat{\Phi}_{+1}$ for outgoing radiation. By differentiating with respect to u we could find $\widehat{\Phi}_0$, and then with a second differentiation with respect to u we could find $\widehat{\Phi}_{-1}$.

This nature of the NP formalism, this separation into ingoing and outgoing quantities is crucial to implementing reflection conditions. The example of electromagnetic waves is instructive. The condition on a perfectly conducting surface is the vanishing of the tangential electric component and the normal magnetic component.

For definiteness, let us consider even parity fields; these turn out to involve only the real part of the Φ_k quantities. The condition that the locally measured value of $E^{[\theta]}$ vanish, requires both $\widehat{\Phi}_{+1}$ and $\widehat{\Phi}_{-1}$. For a reflecting surface close to the horizon, i.e., at a large negative value of r^*, This is numerically awkward since in the horizon limit the $\widehat{\Phi}_{+1}$ diverges, and $\widehat{\Phi}_{-1}$ vanishes. If, for example, we use a wave equation for $\widehat{\Phi}_{-1}$, the boundary condition would require, according to the Maxwell equations, (12) – (15), both $\widehat{\Phi}_{-1}$ and its second derivative with respect to advanced time. Notice too that Eq. (9) tells us that

a similar awkwardness applies to a reflecting surface at large r.

Heuristically, this awkwardness can be traced to the fact that the reflection condition involves a balance of ingoing and outgoing radiation, and the NP quantities are specific to one or the other. This suggests that reflection problems are best handled in a computation using the "balanced" NP field $\widehat{\Phi}_0$. From Eqs. (6) – (8) and (12) – (15), it then follows that the no-reflection boundary condition is simply that the derivatives of $r\widehat{\Phi}_0$ with respect to advanced and retarded time are opposites of each other.

We now turn to the problem of reflection of gravitational waves. The analog of the electromagnetic reflection conditions would be some conditions on the transverse traceless components of gravitational strain. We need not know precisely what the reflection condition is, only that it is some *local* condition on the gravitational strain.

The NP formalism for gravitational perturbations [36] encodes all the information about the Weyl tensor in 5 complex fields, $\Psi_4, \Psi_3, \Psi_2, \Psi_1, \Psi_0$, with properties analogous to the 3 complex electromagnetic fields. In particular, Ψ_4 describes outgoing radiation (the other Ψ_k fall off faster than $1/r$ as $r \to \infty$). Similarly, Ψ_0 describes ingoing radiation, and the other Ψ_k fall off faster than Ψ_0 as $r^* \to -\infty$.

There is an important difference between the NP formalism for gravitational perturbations of the Schwarzchild spacetime and those of the NP formalism for electromagnetic perturbations. For electromagnetism, all the NP projections of the Maxwell tensor are gauge invariant; for gravitational perturbations, only Ψ_4 and Ψ_0 are gauge invariant. The other Ψ_k change under a perturbative transformation of coordinates or projection tetrads. This is why only Ψ_4 and Ψ_0 can be uncoupled from the other Ψ_k and made to satisfy single-unknown wave equations.

A wave equation for Ψ_4, in the context of Schwarzschild spacetime, uncoupled from the other Ψ_k is known as the Bardeen-Press equation [39]. A physically motivated reflection condition near the horizon will involve both Ψ_4 and Ψ_0 in a manner analogous to the electromagnetic condition involving $\widehat{\Phi}_{-1}$ and $\widehat{\Phi}_{+1}$. One possibility for dealing with the local boundary conditions is for example, solve for Ψ_4, and from the solution find Ψ_0. In electromagnetism, finding $\widehat{\Phi}_{+1}$ from $\widehat{\Phi}_{-1}$ required two derivatives with respect to advanced time, and was numerically delicate. For gravitational perturbations the situation is worse; finding Ψ_0 from Ψ_4 requires four differentiations with respect to advanced time.

For gravitational perturbations of the Schwarzschild spacetime with reflection conditions, the difficulty can be avoided by using the Zerilli or Regge-Wheeler equations, which, like $\widehat{\Phi}_0$ in the electromagnetic case, are not skewed to ingoing or outgoing wave propagation. Rapidly rotating black holes, however, do not provide this easy workaround. For gravitational perturbations of the Kerr spacetime, there exist no wave equations analogous to the Regge-Wheeler or Zerilli equations; equations exist only for the gauge invariants Ψ_4 and Ψ_0. Thus, for studies of reflections from exotic "walls" near the horizon, either a very difficult numerical boundary condition can be implemented, or it can be assumed that the the results for the Schwarzschild background give adequate insight for rapidly rotating holes.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this article we sought to clarify two aspects of "echoes" in gravitational wave signals from the late stages of binary inspiral.

The first is the general nature of echoes and their relationship to QN modes. We point out that in a sequence of echoes, later echoes are not copies of the first burst. Furthermore, later and later echoes of an infinite string of echoes, do not approach a ringing at a QN frequency. In general, a scattering viewpoint involving the curvature potential, where applicable, gives a better heuristic view of the process of signal generation than a QN analysis or considerations of a light ring.

The second goal of this paper is to warn of a pitfall in using the Teukolsky [37] wave function Ψ_4 for analyzing the effect of reflecting "walls" outside the horizon. Simply setting Dirichlet or Neumann conditions on this wave function, for example, is not an expression of a locally reflecting wall.

Acknowledgments: We thank Alessandra Buonanno for suggesting that we explore these issues in detail. We also thank Kostas Kokkotas and Sebastian Voelkel for making helpful comments and suggestions that helped improve this paper. G.K. acknowledges research support from NSF Grants No. PHY-1606333 and No. PHY-1414440, and from the U.S. Air Force agreement No. 10-RI-CRADA-09.

[1] B.P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 061102 (2016).

[2] B.P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 241103 (2016).

[3] L. Blanchet, T. Damour, B. R. Iyer, C. M. Will, and A. G. Wiseman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3515 (1995).

[4] B.P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration) Phys. Rev. D **93**, 122003 (2016); Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 241102 (2016); Phys. Rev. D **93**, 122004 (2016); Phys. Rev. X **6**, 041014 (2016); Phys.

Rev. D **94**, 064035 (2016); Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 241103 (2016).
[5] F. Pretorius, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 121101 (2005); M. Campanelli, C. O. Lousto, P. Marronetti, and Y. Zlochower, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 111101 (2006); J. G. Baker, J. R. van Meter, S. T. McWilliams, J. Centrella, and B. J. Kelly, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 181101 (2007); I. Hinder et al., Classical Quantum Gravity **31**, 025012 (2014); A. H. Mroue et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 241104 (2013); S. Husa, S. Khan, M. Hannam, M. Purrer, F. Ohme, X. J. Forteza, and A. Bohe, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 044006 (2016).
[6] S. B. Giddings, Class. Quantum Grav. **33**, 235010 (2016).
[7] C. Chirenti, L. Rezzolla, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 084016 (2016).
[8] N. Yunes, K. Yagi, F. Pretorius, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 084002 (2016).
[9] M. C. Miller, Gen. Rel. Grav. **48**, 95 (2016).
[10] V. Cardoso, A. S. Miranda, E. Berti, H. Witek, and V. T Zanchin, Phys. Rev. D. **79**, 064016 (2009) `arXiv:0812.1806`.
[11] J. Abedi, H. Dykaar, N. Afshordi, preprint `arXiv:1612.00266`
[12] V. Cardoso, E. Franzin, P. Pani, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 171101 (2016) (Cited as CFP in the text).
[13] V. Cardoso, S. Hopper, C. F. B. Macedo, C. Palenzuela, P. Pani, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 084031 (2016).
[14] B. Holdom, J. Ren, preprint `arXiv:1612.04889`.
[15] C. Barcelo, R. Carballo-Rubio, L. J. Garay, preprint `arXiv:1701.09156`.
[16] H.-P. Nollert, Phys. Rev. D. **53**, 4397 (1996).
[17] K. D. Kokkotas, B. G. Schmidt, Living Rev. Rel. 2, 2 (1999).
[18] P. O. Mazur, E. Mottola, PNAS 101 (26), 9545 (2004).
[19] J. Abedi, H. Dykaar, N. Afshordi, preprint `arXiv:1701.03485`.
[20] G. Ashton et al., preprint `arXiv:1612.05625`.
[21] Various authors use different names ("effective potential," "scattering potential, "curvature potential") for this potential-like term. See, e.g., W. H. Press, Astrophys. J. 170, L105 (1971); R. H. Price, Phys. Rev. D 5, 2419 (1972); A. Buonanno, AIP Conference Proceedings 968, 307 (2008); F. J. Zerilli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **24**, 737 (1970).
[22] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1973).
[23] M. Duff, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 1837 (1974) ; C. Prescod-Weinstein, N. Afshordi, M. L. Balogh, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 043513 (2009).
[24] For the Kerr spacetime, which does not have multipole modes in the usual sense, the details of the eigenvalue problem are somewhat different.
[25] QNR can also be evident in the evolution of initial data, but in this case also pure QNR is impossible. The initial data for a pure QN mode is unbounded at infinity and at the horizon.
[26] R. H. Price, S. Nampalliwar, G. Khanna, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 044060 (2016);
[27] C. J. Goebel, Astrophys. J. **171**, L95 (1972).
[28] The problem can be viewed not as applying to a multipole of a perturbation field but as a simple one dimensional problem in and of itself. For more details, see: G. Khanna, R. H. Price, preprint `arXiv:1609.00083`.
[29] J. Mathews and R. L. Walker, 2nd ed. *Mathematical Methods of Physics* (W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1964), Sec. 1.4.
[30] T. Regge and J.A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1063 (1957).
[31] V. Ferrari and B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. D **30**, 295 (1984).
[32] G. Pöschl and E. Teller, Z. Phys., **83**, 143 (1933).
[33] B. F. Schutz and C. M. Will, Astrophys. J. **291**, L33 (1985).
[34] R. H. Price, unpublished Ph.D. thesis, California Institute of Technology (1971).
[35] R. Penrose, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. Series A**284**, 159 (1965).
[36] S. Chandrasekhar, *The mathematical theory of black holes* (Oxford University Press, 1983).
[37] S. A. Teukolsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **29**, 1114 (1972); Ap. J. **185**, 635 (1973).
[38] See after equation 50 in R. H. Price, unpublished Ph.D. thesis, California Institute of Technology (1971).
[39] J. M. Bardeen and W. H. Press, Journal of Mathematical Physics 14(1), 7 (1973).